IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29/06/2004

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.K.RAJAN

W.P.No.15393 of 1997 and W.P.No.15394 of 1997

M.Bhaskara Rao ... Petitioner in WP.No.15393 of 1997
K.Adamu ... Petitioner in WP.No.15394 of 1997

-Vs-

The Commanding Officer
Sainik Aspatal
Military Hospital
Avadi, Madras 54 ... Respondent in both WPs.

Prayer: Petitions filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, as stated therein.

For Petitioners : Mr.M.Rajendiran

For Respondents : Mr.M.Jagadeesan
SCGSC

:ORDER
This writ petition has been filed for the issuance of a writ of certiorarified mandamus, to call for the record in file No.401/Civ Est/97 dated 21.2.1997 on the file of the respondent and to quash the same.

2. The impugned order reads as follows:
CTC
Tele 6384023/4041 Sainik Aspatal
Military Hospital
Avadi, Chennai 54.

401/Civ Est/97

District Employment Office
Employment Exchange Officer
Thiruvaallur, Chenngai MGR District
Tiruvallur.
Recruitment of GP 'D' civilians
1. Please refer to this office letter No.401/Civ Est/97 dated 30 Dec 96 addressed to HQ ATNKK & G Area (Med) and copy endorsed to you.
2. It is submitted for your information that the following selected candidate

for the post of safaiwala could not be appointed due to one time relaxation on ban on direct recruitment has already been over as on 31st December, 1996. Vide HQ letter NO.727/1/M-3(IA) dated 30 January, 1997.

Sd/-....
(Randhir singh)
Major
Offg Commanding Offr

From this, it is seen it is only information sent by the Sainik Aspatal, Military Hospital, Avadi, Chennai to the District Employment Office, Employment Exchange Officer, Thiruvallur Chengai District, Tiruvallur, that the selected list of candidates for the post of Safaiwala cannot be appointed due to the fact that the one time relaxation of ban on direct recruitment lapsed as on 31st December, 1996. Mere selection does not create any right on

the selected candidates. Hence, this letter does not affect any of the right of the petitioner. It is not an order affecting the rights of any person. Therefore, there is no merit in the writ petition.

3. In the result, both the writ petitions are dismissed as devoid of merits. No costs.

Index:yes
Internet:yes
ksr

To
The Commanding Officer
Sainik Aspatal
Military Hospital
Avadi, Madras 54